

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Address COMMESSENIER FOR PATENTS
FO Box 1890
Per 12015 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,718	03/01/2002	Kishan Khamani	11527 355	7476
22913	7590 01/28/1	104	EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER &			RAIGURU, UMAKANT K	
SEELEY) 60 EAST SC	OTH TEMPLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			1711	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/087,718	KHEMANI E I AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MALIUR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Betterstord of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 with a SQL (9) MOVIT file time famility and or this commerciation of 37 CFR 1.15 with a SQL (9) MOVIT file time famility and or this commerciation  - AND provid for regly is specified above. The maximum statutory period or - Pallor to bory when the mark or decorate point for regly with provided above. The maximum statutory period or - Pallor to bory when the mark or decorate provided above.  - Status or decorate plant from adjustment. Sees 37 CFR 1.794-(b).  - Status	98(a). In no event, however, may a reply be fin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, of apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from rouse the applicable to become ARAMCINE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the making date of this communication. D ISS LIS C. 8.1301				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.4	ugust 2003 .					
2a) This action is FINAL, 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
***	. , 33 120					

Application No.

Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper No(s)

U.S. Patent and Traderank Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Other: .

Application/Control Number: 10/087,718
Art Unit: 1711

- 1. An RCE has been filed on Aug. 21, 2003.
- Claims 1-38 are being examined.
- Previous rejections of claims 1-38 are now withdrawn.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-8,12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Branham et al (US 6261674).

Branham discloses an article (viz film) formed by construing many micro layers comprising polymers (abstract). One suitable polymer is polycaprolactone, which is biodegradable (as stated in specification) (col. 5, line 54). Film contains few fillers, both organic & inorganic (col. 8, lines 18 to Col 9, line 15). Film is subjected to many stretching operations (col. 11, lines 49-51). Filler is used at 30-80% by wt of the layer (col. 8, lines 43-47) and has av. particle size of 0.1 to 50 microns Thickness of layer ranges from 10 angistroms to 150 microns (col. 5, line 6) Water vapor transmission rate of layer is 500-15000 g/sq m/24 hour per mil of film thickness (col. 4, lines 32-36).

Braham is silent about (claimed) \* dead-fold" and moisture vapor transmission rate. It is nonetheless reasonable to infer that the composition of Brahham, which reads on that of instant one, inherently satisfies these limitations unless proved to be otherwise.

Above claims lack novelty.

 Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Branham et al (US 6261674). Application/Control Number: 10/087,718

Art Unit: 1711

Disclosure of Branham is presented earlier. Branham does not mention claimed thickness of film. It is known in the art to vary thickness of film as per requirement.

Therefore it would have been obvious to follow teaching of patentee and arrive at above claimed invention.

 Claim 14 is rejected is under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Branham et al (US 6261674) in view of Anderson et al (US 6168857).

Branham does not mention starch

Anderson discloses compositions having starch & polymers from which sheets can be made.

It would have been obvious to use starch as a part of the biodegradable polymer (in composition of Branham) because of easy availability, abundant supply and low price of starch.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 793-398-571-272-6779

3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 571-272-(078

supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on <del>703-209-2462.</del> The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is <del>(703)</del>

JEL 399-2462. 702-872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9310/9311.

December 11, 2003